



Brandeis University

The Heller School for Social Policy and Management

GRADUATE PROGRAM IN CONFLICT RESOLUTION AND COEXISTENCE

	Grade	
Exceptional	A+	<p>“Out of the norm performance”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Highly accurate, balanced and creative treatment of the subject ▪ Exemplary, extreme clarity, logical structure, smooth progression ▪ Rare intellectual depth, including an original and critical reflection ▪ Striking bibliography, including references proving further research ▪ Numerically perfect, if applicable
Excellent	A	<p>“Outstanding performance”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Very accurate, balanced and personal treatment of the subject ▪ Very clear and logical structure, with convincing progression ▪ Intellectual depth, including a critical reflection ▪ Very well developed bibliography, with nice integration of readings ▪ Numerically very accurate and precise, if applicable
Very good	A-	<p>“Above the average standard”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Perceptive and even-ended treatment of the subject <input type="checkbox"/> Clear and logical structure, with a sound progression <input type="checkbox"/> Fully developed arguments, with strong analysis beyond description <input type="checkbox"/> Relevant and complete bibliography, with references to the readings <input type="checkbox"/> Hardly no numerical errors, if applicable
Good	B+	<p>“Generally sound work”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ordered exposition of the subject, but some uneven treatment ▪ Clear structure, with a logical progression in general ▪ Tendency towards description rather than analysis or reflection ▪ Mostly relevant bibliography, but some important references missing ▪ Generally reliable, but some numerical errors, if applicable
Satisfactory	B	<p>“Satisfactory”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Some evidence of insufficient and one-sided treatment of the subject ▪ Adequate structure, but some logical gaps ▪ Mostly description, some lack of relevant and personal analysis ▪ Incomplete bibliography, and possibly some errors in quotations ▪ Often numerically inaccurate, if applicable
Sufficient	B-	<p>“Sufficient performance” (Performance meets the minimum criteria to pass)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Major evidence of insufficient and inaccurate treatment of the subject ▪ Minor problems of structural progression, no introduction/conclusion ▪ Regurgitation of source/course materials, no personal analysis ▪ Less than rigorous bibliography, with many errors ▪ Many numerical errors, if applicable
Insufficient	C	<p>“Some more work required before credit can be awarded”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Little understanding of the issues, superficial content ▪ Major problem of structural progression, no logic, lack of clarity ▪ Little or no reference to theories and models, or misunderstanding ▪ Irrelevant bibliography or lack thereof ▪ Too many numerical errors, if applicable